ESD 105 2002 – 2003 School District Tobacco Policy Assessment


Participation

25 out of 25 school districts completed the tobacco policy assessment for a **100% completion rate**.

| O | verall Results | ESD n=25 | State n=210 |
|-----|--|-------------|----------------|
| 1. | Comprehensive policy communication includes an explanation or rationale for preventing tobacco use (i.e., tobacco is the leading preventable cause of death) | 76% | 78% |
| 2. | Smoking is not allowed by anyone on school property | 88% | 96% |
| 3. | Smokeless tobacco use is not allowed by anyone on school property | 84% | 94% |
| 4. | Smoking is not allowed by anyone in school vehicles | 83% | 94% |
| 5. | Smokeless tobacco use is not allowed by anyone in school vehicles | 75% | 93% |
| 6. | Smoking is not allowed by anyone at school-sponsored events off-campus | 32% | 69% |
| 7. | Smokeless tobacco use is not allowed by anyone at school- sponsored events off-campus | 24% | 68% |
| 8. | Tobacco advertising is not allowed in school buildings | 20% | 61% |
| 9. | Tobacco advertising is prohibited at school functions off-campus | 13% | 46% |
| 10. | Tobacco advertising is prohibited in school publications | 20% | 61% |
| 11. | Students are not allowed to wear tobacco-related paraphernalia or gear (including clothing with tobacco brands or logos) | 24% | 68% |
| 12. | All students in the district must receive instruction regarding tobacco use avoidance at some grade level or levels | 32% | 65% |
| 13. | All school staff have access to programs to help them quit using tobacco | 25% | 50% |
| 14. | Procedures exist for communicating policies to students, staff, parents, families, and visitors | 88% | 92% |
| 15. | Provisions for equitable implementation of policies throughout the district | 76% | 87% |
| 16. | Administrative guidelines exist so that school programs/staff do not accept any direct or indirect support (including resources) from tobacco industry sources | 24% | 49% |

ESD 105 2002 – 2003 School District Tobacco Policy Assessment

Overall Results



ESD 105 2002 – 2003 School District Tobacco Policy Assessment

| Results by School District | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|------|
| School District | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | Total | % |
| Bickelton | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 44% |
| CleElum - Roslyn | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 44% |
| East Valley (Yak) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 31% |
| Easton | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 44% |
| Ellensburg | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 38% |
| Goldendale | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 44% |
| Grandview | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 38% |
| Granger | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 100% |
| Highland | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 63% |
| Kittitas | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13% |
| La Salle | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 50% |
| Mabton | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 81% |
| Mt. Adams | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 50% |
| Naches | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 38% |
| Royal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6% |
| Selah | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 94% |
| Sunnyside | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 31% |
| Thorp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Toppenish | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 50% |
| Union Gap | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 63% |
| Wahluke | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 63% |
| Wapato | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 44% |
| West Valley (Yak) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 44% |
| Yakima | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 100% |
| Zillah | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 44% |
| Total Districts with Policy | | 22 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 22 | 19 | 6 | | |